PRECIPITATION RESCALING APPROACH TO REPRESENT SNOW DISTRIBUTION IN THE ISNOBAL MODEL AND ITS EFFECTS ON THE SNOWPACK MASS AND ENERGY BALANCES

Ernesto Trujillo

Department of Geosciences, Boise State University USDA-ARS Northwest Watershed Research Center, Boise

And Colleagues:

Andrew Hedrick HP Marshall Leonie Kiewiet Kate Hale Et al.

Variability of Snowpack Properties Snow Depth







Variability of Snowpack Properties Snow Depth

(a) Forested



(b) Alpine



Trujillo et al., 2009; DOI: 10.1002/hyp.7270





Representativeness of snow measurements Snow Depth

The Cryosphere

The Cryosphere, 9, 1249–1264, 2015 www.the-cryosphere.net/9/1249/2015/ doi:10.5194/tc-9-1249-2015 © Author(s) 2015. CC Attribution 3.0 License.

Theoretical analysis of errors when estimating snow distribution through point measurements

E. Trujillo^{1,2} and M. Lehning^{2,1}

 \odot \odot

¹School of Architecture, Civil and Environmental Engineering, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

²WSL Institute for Snow and Avalanche Research SLF, Davos, Switzerland





https://tc.copernicus.org/articles/9/1249/2015/tc-9-1249-2015.pdf



Variability of Snowpack Properties Snow Depth





https://tc.copernicus.org/articles/9/1249/2015/tc-9-1249-2015.pdf

iSnobal Snow Model Overview

- USDA-ARS developed model
- Physically based snow model (Marks et al., 1999)
 - Mass and energy balance of the snowpack
- Varying spatial and temporal resolution
 - 50-meter spatial, hourly timestep
- Input data
 - Cooperative measurement network
 - Using atmospheric model (HRRR) since WY2018



ONRCS UCMERCED UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



iSnobal





Figure 1. Conceptual diagram of the ISNOBAL energy balance snowmelt runoff model, showing the energy and mass fluxes considered and calculated at a single grid point, and the layering structure of the simulated snow cover

Marks et al (1999), https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1085(199909)13:12/13<1935::AID-HYP868>3.0.CO;2-C

Conceptual Diagram of the Energy Balance and

AWSM/iSnobal Model

Automated Water Supply Model

stable version v0.10 pypi v0.11.2 DOI 10.5281/zenodo.898158 coverage 88% 🖈 maintainability B

Automated Water Supply Model (AWSM) was developed at the USDA Agricultural Research Service (ARS) in Boise, ID. AWSM was designed to streamline the work flow used by the ARS to forecast the water supply of multiple water basins. AWSM standardizes the steps needed to distribute weather station data with SMRF, run an energy and mass balance with iSnobal, and process the results, while maintaining the flexibility of each program.



SWE = (*depth*)(*density*) ۸SЖ iSnobal (i) iSnobal only (ii) ASO+iSnobal $\Delta SWE(ii - i)$ Basin SWE: 172.5 mm Basin SWE: 213.3 mm Total change: +40.8 mm (+24%) snow-free 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 -200 0 200 400 600 [mm] [mm]



Havens et al.(2019) : <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2020.104571</u>, <u>https://github.com/USDA-ARS-NWRC/awsm</u>

2014 1





Applying modeling and remote sensing in Idaho

- Snow tends to deposit in the same places year after year
 - Drifting, scour and precipitation gradients
- Utilize lidar/SfM to define a "standard" snow distribution
 - Can we also use a single flight to define that distribution?
- Use snow distribution to scale precipitation (put it in the right places)



Precipitation rescaling – Preliminary results (Northern subarea in Tuolumne)

2013-04-03 ASO/iSnobal SWE



2013-04-03 iSnobal SWE – no updates Precip. Rescaling using peak SWE 2016

Modeled – ASO/iSnobal SWE

Difference 2013-04-03 Std Precip – ASO/iSnobal Difference 2013-04-03 using 2013 – ASO/iSnobal



Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 26, 2779–2796, 2022 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-26-2779-2022 © Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Effects of spatial and temporal variability in surface water inputs on streamflow generation and cessation in the rain–snow transition zone

Leonie Kiewiet^{1,2}, Ernesto Trujillo^{3,4}, Andrew Hedrick⁴, Scott Havens⁴, Katherine Hale^{5,6}, Mark Seyfried⁴, Stephanie Kampf¹, and Sarah E. Godsey²



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Hydrology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jhydrol

Research papers

Drivers of spatiotemporal patterns of surface water inputs in a catchment at the rain-snow transition zone of the water-limited western United States

K. Hale^{a, b,*}, L. Kiewiet^c, E. Trujillo^{d, e}, C. Krohe^{f,g}, A. Hedrick^e, D. Marks^e, P. Kormos^h, S. Havens^{e, i}, J. McNamara^d, T. Link^j, S.E. Godsey^{g, j}





- Studying the spatial and temporal patterns of surface water inputs (SWI) across 4 hydroclimatically different years
- Johnston Draw: 1.8 km², elev. range of 1497 m to 1869 m, MAP ~600 mm
- 2005: rainy, 2010: snowy, 2014: dry, and 2011: wet
- iSnobal: 10-m resolution, hourly timesteps, using a precipitation rescaling approach









Maps showing the yearly sum of surface water inputs (SWI, mm), with polar diagram insets showing the average sum of SWI per 10 m grid cell for each aspect



Small scale study areas

Reynolds Creek Experimental Watershed (RCEW)



Reynolds Mountain East (RME)



SnowEx 2020 – TLS







SnowEx 2020 – Reynolds Mountain East

- 0.38 km² snow dominated headwater catchment
- Elevation range: 2028 2137 m
- 1983-present : Meteorological, soil and snow measurements
- Snow courses during winter at one of the instrument sites adjacent to snow pillow and snow depth sensors
- The two main measurement sites:
 - A sheltered site located within a clearing in an aspen/fir grove near the center of the catchment
 - An exposed site is located on the western catchment divide in an area dominated by mixed sagebrush
- A streamflow weir is located at the outlet of the catchment

Questions?

